## **Footwear Wear Test Evaluation**

Participant Data for Evaluation

#### REFERENCE DOCUMENT NFPA 1851 Chapter 5 Selection; Annex A.5.1

Prior to starting the selection process of structural firefighting ensembles and ensemble elements, a risk assessment should be performed. A risk assessment should consider and include, but not be limited to, the following components:

- 1. Types of duties performed
- 2. Frequency of use of ensemble elements
- 3. Organization's experience
- 4. Incident operations
- 5. Geographic location and climate
- 6. Specific physical area of operation
- 7. Likelihood of or response to CBRN terrorism incident
- 8. Hazard/Risk identification
- 9. Hazard/Risk evaluation
- 10. Establishment of priorities of department

Based on this risk assessment, the organization should compile and evaluate information on the comparative strengths and weaknesses of the elements under consideration and that they interface properly with other personal protective items being used. When a field evaluation is being conducted, the organization should establish criteria to ensure a systematic method of comparing products in a manner related to their intended use. Assess their performance relative to the organization's expectations.

The PPE committee should consist of the department Safety Officer and interested individuals representing a cross section from both labor and management who have several years of experience in firefighting activities.

The purpose of the evaluation is to improve the organization's criteria over existing specifications. To accomplish this, five areas are identified as quantifiable parameters. These are: Technical Performance, Preparation, Fit and Function, Performance, and In Service.

Please include as many comments as possible referencing a statement from above or any other observations of your crew.

# WEAR TEST EVALUATION DOCUMENTATION FOOTWEAR

Department Name:			
Evaluator:			
Form Completed By:			
Evaluation Start Date:		Evaluation Completion Date:	
Application:	RUCTURAL		
Manufacturer:			
Manufacturer:			
Manufacturer: Manufacturer Model: Manufacturer			

#### SAFETY INSPECTION

RATING	PASS	FAIL
Proper Fit		

#### FOOTWEAR BASIC FUNCTIONALITY

RATING	Best	Good	Acceptable	Poor	Unacceptable
SCORE	Ø	1	2	3	4
	✓	✓	✓	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	✓
Rate footwear interface with trouser.					
Rate ease of donning.					
Rate ease of doffing.					
Rate footwear stability during a 25 foot					
run.					
Rate footwear comfort after 20					
minutes.					

### WEAR TEST EVALUATION DOCUMENTATION FOOTWEAR IN SERVICE FUNCTIONALITY

RATING	Best	Good	Acceptable	Poor	Unacceptable
SCORE	Ø	1	2	3	4
	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Rate the ability of the footwear to					
provide solid footing while working.					
Rate the flexibility and ease of					
movement of the footwear throughout					
the drill. May need to account for a					
break-in period.					
Rate the effectiveness of the arch					
support throughout the drill. The ability					
of the shank to provide foot support on					
and off ladders.					
Rate the effectiveness of the heel					
support throughout the drill. The ability					
of the footwear to stabilize the foot					
through the action of the heel counter.					
Rate the effectiveness of the footwear to					
limit heel slippage. A situation where the					
heel of the foot moves up and down in					
the boot during movement.					
Rate the effectiveness of the footwear					
to limit foot slippage throughout the					
drill. A situation where the foot slips					
back and forth in the boot during movement.					
Rate the effectiveness of the shin					
guard throughout the drill.					
Rate the comfort of the footwear					
throughout the drill.					
Rate the comfort of the boot shaft.					
Rate the comfort of the toe-box area.					
Rate the comfort of the heel area.			1		
Rate the interface of the			1		
trouser/footwear. Do the boots allow for					
the trouser to move up and down without					
restriction? Do the boots adversely affect					
the performance of the trouser.					